(33) Radiation Dosage at the Reprocessing Plant (waste management facility), Japan Nuclear Fuel Ltd

Item	FY	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Number of Personnel Engaged in Radiation Work (persons)	Employee	192	198	194	189	182	232	201	199	240	234
	Others	463	485	562	605	569	842	669	760	865	850
	Total	655	683	756	794	751	1,074	870	959	1,105	1,084
Total Dose (person-Sv)	Employee	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Others	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mean Dose (mSv)	Employee	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Others	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

(34) Radiation Dosage at the Waste Burial Facility of the Japan Atomic Energy Agency

Item	FY	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Number of Personnel Engaged in Radiation Work (persons)	Employee										
	Others										
	Total										
Total Dose (person-Sv)	Employee										
	Others										
	Total										
Mean Dose (mSv)	Employee										
	Others										
	Total										

(35) Radiation Dosage at the Waste Management Facility of the Japan Atomic Energy Agency

Item	FY	2002	2003	2004	2005 *3	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Number of Personnel Engaged in Radiation Work (persons)	Employee	20	22	20	27	28	27	30	31	33	31
	Others	276	244	246	195	192	181	172	235	163	254
	Total	296	266	266	213	220	208	202	266	196	285
Total Dose (person-Sv)	Employee	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Others	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	Total	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Mean Dose (mSv)	Employee	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Others	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
	Total	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

*3: This facility used to belong to the former Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute. The personnel working at the facility are respectively classified as “Others” and “Employee” before and after the merger with the Japan Nuclear Cycle Development Institute. In FY 2005, there were nine workers who were classified as both “Employee” and “Others.” The number was subtracted from the total number.